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1 Introduction

Let $T = (V, E)$ be a tree with $n$ vertices. For two vertices $u$ and $v$, we define the distance $d(u, v)$ as the number of edges on the unique path between $u$ and $v$, and $d(u, S) = \min_{v \in S} d(u, v)$ for $S \subseteq V$. Given a positive integer $k$, we consider the problem of finding a $k$-leaf-subtree (subtree which contains exactly $k$ leaves) $S$ which minimizes $D(S) = \sum_{v \in V} d(v, S)$, the sum of the distances from all vertices to $S$. Such a $k$-leaf-subtree is called a $k$-tree-core of $T$.

The problem of finding a $k$-tree-core is one of several types of location problems for a single facility on a tree which minimizes the sum of the distance. The oldest, posed by Hakimi [2], is the problem of finding a vertex called a “node median” or a “distance centroid”, which minimizes the sum of distance. This may be extended naturally to paths, and a path which minimizes the total distance is called a “core” or “path median”, and linear time algorithms for finding a core have been proposed by Morgan and Slater [5], and Peng et al. [6]. Minieka and Patel [3] added a constraint on the length of a path, and defined a “core of length $l$” as a path of length $l$ which minimizes the total distance. This problem is extended to a tree-shaped facility in [4]. On the other hand, the problem of finding a $k$-tree-core, which we treat here, adds a different constraint namely such that the subtree must have exactly $k$ leaves. This problem was first considered by Peng et al.[6] who gave two algorithms for finding a $k$-tree-core whose time complexities are $O(kn)$ and $O(n \log n)$. The latter algorithm can find $k$-tree-cores for all $k$ in $O(n \log n)$.

In this paper, we propose a linear time algorithm for finding a $k$-tree-core. Our algorithm is a modified version of the $O(kn)$-algorithm of Peng et al. and is very simple while theirs are little complicated. It first finds a core in linear time, then finds $k-2$ paths needed to construct a $k$-tree-core, and adds them. We show that these added paths have some special properties, which allows us to find them in $O(n)$ time. Peng et al. showed similar properties, but our lemmas and proofs are simple and clear. Furthermore, with a slight modification, our algorithm can find $k$-tree-cores for all $k$ in linear time.

We also consider a $k$-tree-core in weighted tree. By using our algorithm, we can find a $k$-tree-core in linear time, but it takes $O(n \log n)$ time to find $k$-tree-cores for all $k$. We show that $\Omega(n \log n)$ is the lower bound for solving the latter problem, and that therefore our algorithm is optimal for this.
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In Section 2, we give some notation and definitions, and show some basic properties about distance. In Section 3, we prove some useful properties for our algorithm, and propose a linear time algorithm. Finally we discuss \( k \)-tree-cores in a weighted tree in section 4.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let \( T = (V, E) \) be a tree. \( P_{uv} \) denotes the unique path which connects two vertices \( u \) and \( v \). The distance between two vertices \( u \) and \( v \) is defined by the number of edges in the path \( P_{uv} \), and is denoted by \( d(u, v) \). For a vertex \( u \) and a subtree \( S \) in \( T \), the distance between \( v \) and \( S \) is defined by \( d(v, S) = \min_{u \in S} \{d(u, v)\} \).

Here we define some measure of “centrality” of subtrees in \( T \). For a vertex \( v \), the distance of \( v \), denoted by \( D(v) \), is defined as the sum of the distances between \( u \) and \( v \) for all vertices \( u \in V \), i.e., \( D(v) = \sum_{u \in V} d(u, v) \). Similarly, for a subtree \( S \) in \( T \), \( D(S) = \sum_{u \in V} d(u, S) \) is called the distance of \( S \).

A core of a tree \( T \) is a path which minimizes the distance \( D(P) \) among all paths \( P \) in \( T \). A \( k \)-tree-core is a subtree which minimizes the distance \( D(S) \) among all subtrees \( S \) containing exactly \( k \) leaves. We can see that a core is a 2-tree-core. It is easily shown that each leaf of \( k \)-tree-core is also a leaf of \( T \). Note that a \( k \)-tree-core is not always uniquely defined.

A vertex \( v \notin S \) is adjacent to a subtree \( S \) if there exists an edge \( (u, v) \) with \( u \in S \). For a vertex \( r \in T \), and a vertex \( v \neq r \), we consider ‘rooting’ \( T \) at \( v \). We denote the subtree (of this rooted tree) rooted at \( v \) as \( T_r(v) \). More generally, for a subtree \( S \) and a vertex \( v \notin S \), let \( T_S(v) \) be the subtree in \( T \) induced by the vertex-set \( V_S(v) = \{x | P_{vx} \cap S = \emptyset \text{ and } d(x, S) \geq d(v, S)\} \).

If we regard \( T \) as a tree ‘rooted’ at \( S \), \( T_S(v) \) can be seen as a subtree rooted at \( v \).

If a subtree \( S \) becomes larger, the distance \( D(S) \) decreases strictly. So, we consider decreasing \( D(S) \) by adding a path \( P \) to a subtree \( S \). The following equation holds for the decrease of the distance by addition of a path to a subtree.

**Property 2.1** Let \( P \) be a path in \( T \) and \( v \) be one of endpoints of \( P \). Let \( S \) be any subtree of \( T \) which intersects \( P \) only at the vertex \( v \). Then,

\[
D(S) - D(S \cup P) = D(v) - D(P)
\]

**Proof:**

\[
D(S) - D(S \cup P) = \sum_{u \in V} \{d(u, S) - d(u, S \cup P)\} = \sum_{u \in T_S(v)} \{d(u, v) - d(u, P)\} + \sum_{u \notin T_S(v)} \{d(u, S) - d(u, S)\} = \sum_{u \in T_S(v)} \{d(u, v) - d(u, P)\} + \sum_{u \notin T_S(v)} \{d(u, v) - d(u, v)\} = \sum_{u \in V} \{d(u, v) - d(u, P)\}
\]

This means that for any subtree \( S \) which intersects \( P \) at only one endpoint \( v \), \( D(S) - D(S \cup P) \) has the same value. We call this value the distance saving of \( v \) and \( P \), and denote it by \( DS(v, P) \). The distance saving has the following property.
Property 2.2 Let $v$ and $w$ be two distinct vertices, and $v'$ be the vertex in $P_{vw}$ adjacent to $v$. Then,

$$DS(v, P_{vw}) = DS(v', P_{vw'}) + |T_v(v')|$$

Proof:

$$DS(v, P_{vw}) = D(v) - D(P_{vw})$$
$$= D(v) - \{D(P_{vw}) - DS(v', P_{vw'})\}$$
$$= DS(v, P_{vw'}) + DS(v', P_{vw'})$$
$$= DS(v', P_{vw'}) + |T_v(v')|$$

By this property, we can compute $DS(v, P_{vw})$ from $DS(v', P_{vw'})$ immediately. It is one of the keys of our algorithm.

3 An algorithm for finding a $k$-tree-core

In this section, we propose an algorithm for finding a $k$-tree-core. We assume that $k$ is less than the number of leaves in $T$.

Our algorithm is based on the $O(kn)$ algorithm by Peng et al.[6]. Their algorithm finds a core at first, and adds $k-2$ paths iteratively. It takes $O(n)$ time for finding each path, hence $O(kn)$ time is required for finding all $k-2$ paths. Our algorithm also finds a core in the first step. After that, we construct a set of paths, and by adding $k-2$ elements selected from this set to the core, we get a $k$-tree-core. We can execute this step in $O(n)$, thus a linear time algorithm for finding a $k$-tree-core may be realized. We show some lemmas, which were first proved by Peng et al.[6].

Lemma 3.1 [6] For any $k$-tree-core $S \neq T$, there exists a $(k+1)$-tree-core $S'$ such that $S \subset S'$.

By using this lemma, we can construct a $(k+1)$-tree-core from a given $k$-tree-core $S_k$ by adding a path which minimizes the distance. Here we consider the path which maximizes $DS(v, P)$. For a subtree $S$ in $T$ and a vertex $v \notin S$, let $u$ be the vertex adjacent to $v$ such that $d(u, S) = d(v, S) - 1$. When a path $P$ maximizes $DS(u, P)$ among all paths $P_{uw}$ with $w \in T_S(v)$, we call $P$ the local rooted core of $v$ with respect to $S$ and denote it by $LRC(v, S)$. The next property is implied by Property 2.2.

Property 3.2 Let $S$ be a subtree in $T$ and $v$ be a vertex which maximizes $DS(LRC(v, S))$ among all vertices not in $S$. Then, $v$ is adjacent to $S$.

From the definition of local-rooted-core, the previous lemma can be rewritten as follows.

Corollary 3.3 For any $k$-tree-core $S$, let $P$ be a local-rooted-core $LRC(v, S)$ which maximizes the distance saving among all vertices $v$ adjacent to $S$. Then, $S \cup P$ is a $(k+1)$-tree-core.

Now, we consider how to find a local-rooted-core $LRC(v, S)$. Suppose $v$ is not a leaf of $T$. Let $u$ be a vertex which is adjacent to $v$ and satisfies $d(u, S) = d(v, S) - 1$. Let $\{v_1, \ldots, v_r\}$ be vertices which are adjacent to $v$ and satisfy $d(v_i, S) = d(v, S) + 1$. Such vertices surely
exist because \( v \) is not a leaf. From the definition of local-rooted-cores, the following relation is implied.

\[
DS(LRC(v, S)) = \max\{DS(u, P_{uw})| w \in T_S(v)\}
= \max\{DS(v, P_{vw})| w \in T_S(v)\} + |T_S(v)|
= \max\{\max_{1 \leq i \leq r}\{\max\{DS(v, P_{vw})| w \in T_S(v_i)\}\}, DS(v, P_{vw})\} + |T_S(v)|
= \max_{1 \leq i \leq r}\{DS(v, LRC(v_i, S))\} + |T_S(v)|
\]

By using this relation, we can compute a local-rooted-core \( LRC(v, S) \) recursively.

**Algorithm** Find\( LRC(v, S, T) \) (Find \( LRC(v, S) \) for \( v \notin S \) and subtree \( S \subset T \)).

**Step 0:** Let \( u \) be the vertex which is adjacent to \( v \) and satisfies \( d(u, S) = d(v, S) - 1 \).

**Step 1:** If \( v \) is a leaf of \( T \) then return the path \( P_{ac} \). Stop.

**Step 2:** If \( v \) is not a leaf of \( T \), then let \( \{v_1, \ldots, v_r\} \) be vertices which are adjacent to \( v \) and which satisfy \( d(v_i, S) = d(v, S) + 1 \). Find a local-rooted-core \( LRC(v_i, S) \) for each vertex \( v_i \).

**Step 3:** Choose the path \( P^* \) with the largest value of distance saving.

**Step 4:** Return the path \( P^* \cup \{u, v\} \). Stop.

Moreover, we can also compute all local-rooted-cores \( LRC(x, S) \) for \( x \in T_S(v) \) simultaneously as byproducts. Now we consider the time complexity of this algorithm. Let \( Time(v) \) be the time required to compute \( LRC(v, S) \). Then,

\[
Time(v) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} Time(v_i) + O(\deg(v))
= O(\sum_{u \in T_S(v)} \deg(u))
= O(|T_S(v)|)
\]

Hence, it takes \( O(n) \) time to compute local-rooted-cores \( LRC(v, S) \) for all vertices \( v \notin S \). The algorithm of Peng et al. iteratively computes local-rooted-cores \( k \) times, and takes \( O(kn) \) time to find a \( k \)-tree-core.

In our algorithm for finding a \( k \)-tree-core, we compute a core \( C \) first. Then we make a set of local-rooted-cores \( LS \) by using the algorithm Find\( LRC(v, S, T) \). Here we consider local-rooted-cores produced by the algorithm Find\( LRC(v, S, T) \). When we find \( LRC(v, S) \), we find local-rooted-cores \( LRC(v_i, S) \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, r \). One of them \( LRC(v_i, S) \) is included in \( LRC(v, S) \), and the others intersect \( LRC(v, S) \) at only one vertex \( u \). We define \( LS \) as the set of maximal local-rooted-cores, i.e., \( LS = \{LRC(v, C) | LRC(v, C) \notin LRC(w, C), \forall w \neq v\} \).

We also define the **body** of \( LRC(v, C) \) as the sub-path \( LRC(v, C) \cap T_C(v) \).

**Property 3.4**
1. Each vertex \( v \in T \setminus C \) is contained in exactly one body of a local-rooted-core \( L \in LS \).
2. For any local-rooted-core \( L \in LS \) and any vertex \( v \notin L \) adjacent to the body of \( L \), a local-rooted-core of \( v \) is contained in \( LS \).
Figure 1: The set of local-rooted-cores $LS$

**Proof:** Clearly, any vertex $w \in T \setminus C$ is contained in at least one local-rooted-core of $LS$. If $w$ is contained in two bodies of $LRC(v_1, C)$ and $LRC(v_2, C)$, then $LRC(v_1, C) \subset LRC(v_2, C)$ or $LRC(v_2, C) \subset LRC(v_1, C)$. Hence, by definition of $LS$, $LS$ contain the maximal local-rooted-core which contains $LRC(v_1, C)$ (and $LRC(v_2, C)$).

For a local-rooted-core $L \in LS$, let $v \not\in L$ be any vertex adjacent to the body of $L \in LS$, and $L' \in LS$ be the unique local-rooted-core which contains $v$ in its body. Suppose $L' \neq LRC(v, C)$ and let $u \in L$ be the vertex which is adjacent to $v$. Then $u$ is also contained in the body of $L'$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $L' = LRC(v, C)$.

The next lemma ensures the correctness of our algorithm.

**Lemma 3.5**
Let $L_i$ be the element in $LS$ with $i$-th largest value of distance saving. Then, $S_k = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-2} L_i \cup C$ is a $k$-tree-core.

**Proof:** If $k = 2$ then this statement holds obviously. So, for $k > 2$, we assume that $S_{k-1}$ is a $(k-1)$-tree-core and show that $S_k$ is $k$-tree-core.

From Corollary 3.3, $S_k$ is a $k$-tree-core if and only if $L_{k-2}$ maximizes the distance saving among all local-rooted-cores $LRC(v, S)$ such that $v$ is adjacent to $S_{k-1}$. For any vertex $v$, if $v$ is adjacent to core $C$, or $v$ is adjacent the body of some local-rooted-core $LRC(x, C)$ and not in $LRC(x, C)$, then $LS$ has a local-rooted-core $LRC(v, C)$ in it. Therefore, for each
vertex $v$ adjacent to $S_{k-1}$, $\text{LRC}(v, C) \in LS \setminus \{L_i \mid i = 1, 2, \ldots, k-3\}$, and if $\text{LRC}(v, C) \in LS \setminus \{L_i \mid i = 1, 2, \ldots, k-3\}$ then $v \notin S_{k-1}$. From Property 2.2, $L_{k-2}$ maximizes the distance saving among all local-rooted-core $\text{LRC}(v, S)$ such that $v$ is adjacent to $S_{k-1}$, since $L_{k-2}$ has the largest value of distance saving in $LS \setminus \{L_i \mid i = 1, 2, \ldots, k-3\}$. Hence, $S_k$ is a $k$-tree-core.

Now, we formulate our algorithm.

**Algorithm** Find $k$-tree-core($k, T$)

**Step 1:** Find a core $C$.

**Step 2:** Compute $LS$.

**Step 3:** Sort elements in $LS$ in the decreasing order of the distance saving by using radix sort.

**Step 4:** Output $C$ and the $k-2$ largest elements in $LS$.

**Theorem 3.6**

*Algorithm* Find $k$-tree-core($k, T$) outputs a $k$-tree-core of a tree $T$ in $O(n)$ time and uses $O(n)$ space.

**Proof:** Steps 1 and 2 can be done in $O(n)$ time. In Step 3, we sort all elements of $LS$. Radix sort takes only $O(d(n + e))$ time and $O(n + e)$ space if each number is a positive integer less than $e^d$, hence Step 3 can be done in $O(n)$ time, because the distance saving of any path is a positive integer less than $n^2$. The size of the output is at most the size of a given tree $T$, and Step 4 takes $O(n)$ time. Hence, this algorithm runs in $O(n)$ time.

In Steps 1, 2, and 4, the memory requirement is proportional to the size of a given graph. By the above argument about radix sort, we use only $O(n)$ space when we sort all elements in $LS$. Therefore, the space complexity is $O(n)$.

From lemma 3.5, the differences between a $k$-tree-core and a $(k-1)$-tree-core is the local-rooted-core $L_{k-2}$. Therefore, in the previous algorithm, if we output all local-rooted-cores $L_1, L_2, \ldots$ instead of outputting only $L_1, \ldots, L_{k-2}$, we can reconstruct all $k$-tree-cores for $k \geq 2$. That is, we can find all $k$-tree-cores for any $k$ in linear time.

4 $k$-tree-cores in weighted graphs

In this section, we discuss the problem of finding a $k$-tree-core in weighted tree. We consider a tree $T = (V, E)$ such that each edge $e \in E$ has an arbitrary positive length $l(e)$ and each vertex $v \in V$ has an arbitrary positive weight $w(v)$. We define the distance $d(u, v)$ between vertices $u$ and $v$ by the length of the path $P_{uv}$, i.e., $d(u, v) = \sum_{e \in P_{uv}} l(e)$. The distance between one vertex $v$ and one subtree $S$ is defined by $d(v, S) = \min_{u \in S} d(u, v)$. The distance of a subtree $S$ is defined as the value $D(S) = \sum_{v \in V} w(v)d(v, S)$. By using this distance, we can define a $k$-tree-core similarly to the unweighted case. Thus we can find a $k$-tree-core in the same manner except for the sorting of the elements of $LS$. In a weighted graph, we cannot use radix sort. However, this is no problem because we do not have to sort all elements in $LS$ to find the $k-2$ largest elements. In fact, the $k$-best selection algorithm suffices, and we can find a $k$-tree-core in $O(n)$ time.

Next, we consider finding $k$-tree-cores for all $k$. In this case, we must sort all elements in $LS$ and it takes $O(n \log n)$ time to find $k$-tree-cores by using our algorithm. Here we
show that this is equal to the lower bound of time complexity to output each $k$-tree-core of a weighted tree for all $k$, by reducing the sorting problem to it. It is well-known that the problem of sorting $n$ numbers requires $\Omega(n \log n)$ time. We exhibit the fact that the sorting problem is transformable in linear time to the problem of outputting each $k$-tree-core, and prove the lower bound of our problem. For a given sequence of real numbers $\{r_1, \ldots, r_n\}$, we consider a star-shaped tree graph $G$ which has vertices $\{v_0, \ldots, v_{n+2}\}$ and edges $(v_i, v_0)$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n + 2$. We assume that all numbers $r_i (i = 1, \ldots, n)$ are distinct. In this graph, vertices $\{v_1, ..., v_{n+2}\}$ are leaves. We define the weight of each edge $(v_i, v_0)$ as $r_i$ for $i \leq n$, and as a sufficient large value, e.g., $\max_j \{r_j\} + 1$ for $i > n$ (see Figure 2). Clearly, a core $C$ of the graph $G$ is the path from $v_{n+1}$ to $v_{n+2}$. The path consisting of only one edge $(v_i, v_0)$ is a local-rooted-core of vertex $v_i \notin C$. Let $S_k$ be a $k$-tree-core of $G$. We can easily see that $S_k$ contains edges in $\{(v_i, v_0) \mid i = 1, \ldots, n + 2\}$ with $k$-th largest weight, and $S_{k+1} \setminus S_k$ contains the edge $(v_0, v_i)$ with $k$-th largest weight. If we output each $k$-tree-core $S_k$ for all $k$ by outputting differences $S_{k+1} \setminus S_k$, we can sort numbers $\{r_1, \ldots, r_n\}$. This means that it requires $\Omega(n \log n)$ time to find differences between $S_k$ and $S_{k+1}$ for all $k$.
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